A. Access

Updated: 12/15/2017

Comparison: Fall 2016 LPC Student Headcount to 2016 Population Estimates

*Highlighted student groups were disproportionately less likely to attend Las Positas College.

Gender Distribution												
	LP	C	Ci	ty	Proportionality							
	Num	Pct	Num	Pct	Index							
DUBLIN												
Females	410	52%	21,591	51%	1.02							
Males	375	47%	21,052	49%	0.96							
<u>Unknown</u>	9	<u>1%</u>		<u>0%</u>	-							
Total	794	100%	42,644	100%								
LIVERMORE		<u>"</u>										
Females	1,338	53%	35,750	51%	1.06							
Males	1,120	45%	34,997	49%	0.90							
<u>Unknown</u>	<u>46</u>	<u>2%</u>		<u>0%</u>	-							
Total	2,504	100%	70,747	100%								
PLEASANTON												
Females	671	50%	31,512	51%	0.98							
Males	641	48%	29,880	49%	0.99							
<u>Unknown</u>	17	<u>1%</u>		<u>0%</u>	-							
Total	1,329	100%	61,392	100%								

Source: Chabot-Las Positas Institutional Research Dataset; Economic Modeling Specialists, Intl. (EMSI 2017.2) Note: Data includes only the population that is 15 years or older

	Race-Ethnicity Distribution											
	LP	C	Cit	y	Proportionality							
	Num	Pct	Num	Pct	Index							
DUBLIN												
African-American	52	7%	2,824	7%	1.00							
Asian	263	33%	13,890	33%	1.03							
Latino	162	21%	5,497	13%	1.60							
Native American	-	0%	102	<1%	-							
Pacific Islander	2	<1%	177	<1%	0.61							
White	250	32%	18,792	44%	0.72							
More than one race	58	<u>7%</u>	<u>1,361</u>	<u>3%</u>	2.31							
Total	787	100%	42,644	100%								
LIVERMORE												
African-American	57	2%	957	1%	1.69							
Asian	257	10%	8,175	12%	0.89							
Latino	738	30%	12,126	17%	1.73							
Native American	6	<1%	64	<1%	2.69							
Pacific Islander	7	<1%	156	<1%	1.28							
White	1,273	51%	47,384	67%	0.76							
More than one race	149	<u>6%</u>	1,886	<u>3%</u>	2.25							
Total	2,487	100%	70,747	100%								
PLEASANTON		,										
African-American	18	1%	1,031	2%	0.81							
Asian	284	22%	16,686	27%	0.79							
Latino	265	20%	5,875	10%								
Native American	3	<1%	36	<1%								
Pacific Islander	8	1%	268	<1%								
White	644	49%	36,188	59%	0.83							
More than one race	<u>95</u>	<u>7%</u>	1,310	<u>2%</u>	3.38							
Total	1,317	100%	61,392	100%								

Source: Chabot-Las Positas Institutional Research Dataset; Economic Modeling Specialists, Intl. (EMSI 2017.2) Note: Data includes only the population that is 15 years or older. Does not include 'unknown' category.

Disability Status											
		LP	LPC City I								
		Num	Pct	Num	Pct	Index					
DUBLIN											
Not Disabled		735	93%	30,142	95%	0.97					
Disabled		59	7%	1,515	<u>5</u> %	1.55					
To	otal	794	100%	31,657	100%						
LIVERMORE			"								
Not Disabled		2,249	90%	51,236	94%	0.96					
Disabled		259	<u>10</u> %	3,523	<u>6</u> %	1.61					
To	otal	2,508	100%	54,759	100%						
PLEASANTON	1		"								
Not Disabled		1,167	88%	43,599	96%	0.92					
Disabled		164 12% 1,968 4%				2.85					
To	otal	1,331	100%	45,567	100%						

Source: Chabot-Las Positas Institutional Research Dataset; U.S. Census Bureau,

2011-2015 American Community Survey

Updated: 12/15/2017

Note: Data includes only the population that is 18 to 64 years old

Income Level												
	LP	C	Ci	ty	Proportionality							
	Num	Pct	Num	Pct	Index							
DUBLIN												
Non Low Income	522	71%	34,949	96%	0.74							
Low Income	216	<u>29</u> %	1,430	<u>4</u> %	7.45							
Total	738	100%	36,379	100%								
LIVERMORE		"										
Non Low Income	1,646	69%	60,842	94%	0.73							
Low Income	744	<u>31</u> %	3,727	<u>6</u> %	5.39							
Total	2,390	100%	64,569	100%								
PLEASANTON												
Non Low Income	1,028	82%	53,025	96%	0.85							
Low Income	224	<u>18</u> %	2,172	<u>4</u> %	4.55							
Total	1,252	100%	55,197	100%								

Source: Chabot-Las Positas Institutional Research Dataset; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011-2015 American Community Survey

Note: Data includes only the population that is 18 years old or older. For LPC data, low income was defined as those receiving Pell grants or Board of Governor's Fee Waiver; For US Census data, "Low income" is based on poverty threshold levels nation wide, taking into consideration household income and size.

Veteran Status												
	LI	LPC City										
	Num	Pct	Num	Pct	Index							
DUBLIN												
Non Veteran	767	96%	37,847	96%	1.00							
Veteran	29	<u>4</u> %	1,613	<u>4</u> %	0.89							
Total	796	100%	39,460	100%								
LIVERMORE		'										
Non Veteran	2,435	97%	60,150	93%	1.04							
Veteran	72	<u>3</u> %	4,561	<u>7</u> %	0.41							
Total	2,507	100%	64,711	100%								
PLEASANTON		'										
Non Veteran	1,298	98%	52,201	94%	1.04							
Veteran	30	<u>2</u> %	3,130	<u>6</u> %	0.40							
Total	1,328	100%	55,331	100%								

Source: Chabot-Las Positas Institutional Research Dataset; U.S. Census Bureau, 2011-2015 American Community Survey

Note: Data from the US Census for veterans status includes only the population that is 18 or older.

Number of Students Lost

				Overa	all for LPC	Cities					
Equity Gap	Student Group		Proportionality Index	Share of the Population (decimal)		LPC Student Headcount (Cohort Total)	Difference in Representation	Multiply (X)	the total # of all students enrolled in the Fall	=	Number of Students
		Prop	LPC	Local City	semester (Cohort Total)					"Lost" per Fall	
		A/B	A	В	C	/A-B/	х	C	=	D	
Largest Gap	Pleasanton Veteran	0.40	0.02	0.06	1,328	0.03	x	1,328	=	45	
Second Largest	Livermore Veteran	0.41	0.03	0.07	2,507	0.04	x	2,507	=	105	
Third Largest	Dublin Pacific Islander	0.61	0.00	0.00	787	0.00	X	787	=	1	

Notes: Column *A* is the student group's share of the LPC population; Column *B* is the share of that same group in the population of Dublin, Livermore, or Pleasanton. Column *C* is the unduplicated headcount of students enrolled in Fall 2016. Column *D* is the number of prospect students who, if they enrolled at LPC, would have closed the equity gap.

					Dublin					
Equity Gap	Student Group	Proportionality Index	Share of the Population (decimal)		LPC Student Headcount	Difference in Representation	Multiply (X)	the total # of all students enrolled in the Fall		Number of Students
		Prop	LPC	Local City	(Cohort Total)	Diff Repr		semester (Cohort Total)		"Lost" per Fall
		A/B	A	В	C	/A-B/	Х	C	=	D
Largest Gap	Dublin Pacific Islander	0.61	0.003	0.004	787	0.00	X	787	=	1
Second Largest	Dublin White	0.72	0.32	0.44	787	0.12	X	787	=	97
Third Largest	Dublin Non Low Income	0.74	0.71	0.96	738	0.25	X	738	=	187

^{*}Race-Ethnicity total does not include 'Unkown' race category.

Number of Students Lost

	Livermore													
Equity Gap	Student Group	Proportionality Index	Popu	of the lation imal)	LPC Student Headcount	Difference in Representation	Multiply (X)	the total # of all students enrolled in the Fall		Number of Students				
Î	2 2 2 2	Propo	LPC	Local City	(Cohort Total)	Diff Repr		semester (Cohort Total)		"Lost" per Fall				
		A/B	A	В	С	/A-B/	х	C	=	D				
Largest Gap	Livermore Veteran	0.41	0.03	0.07	2,507	0.04	x	1,254	=	52				
Second Largest	Livermore Non Low Income	0.73	0.69	0.94	2,390	0.25	X	1,195	=	303				
Third Largest	Livermore White	0.76	0.51	0.67	2,487	0.16	x	1,244	=	196				

^{*}Race-Ethnicity total does not include 'Unkown' race category.

					Pleasantor	1				
Equity Gap	Student Group	Proportionality Index	Share of the Population (decimal)		LPC Student Headcount	Difference in Representation	Multiply (X)	the total # of all students enrolled in the Fall		Number of Students
		Prop	LPC	Local City	(Cohort Total)	Diff Repr		semester (Cohort Total)		"Lost" per Fall
		A/B	A	В	C	/A-B/	х	C	=	D
Largest Gap	Pleasanton Veteran	0.40	0.02	0.06	1,328	0.03	x	664	=	23
Second Largest	Pleasanton Asian	0.79	0.22	0.27	1,317	0.06	X	659	=	37
Third Largest	Pleasanton African- American	0.81	0.01	0.02	1,317	0.00	x	659	=	2

^{*}Race-Ethnicity total does not include 'Unkown' race category.