



DRAFT COLLEGE COUNCIL MINUTES

Thursday, April 26, 2018 | 2:30-4:30 p.m. | 1687

Meeting Minutes

LPC Mission Statement

Las Positas College is an inclusive learning-centered institution providing educational opportunities and support for completion of students' transfer, degree, basic skills, career-technical, and retraining goals.

LPC Planning Priorities

- ❖ Establish regular and ongoing processes to implement best practices to meet ACCJC standards.
- ❖ Provide necessary institutional support for curriculum development and maintenance.
- ❖ Develop processes to facilitate ongoing meaningful assessment of SLOs/SAOs and integrate assessment of SLOs/SAOs into college processes.
- ❖ Expand tutoring services to meet demand and support student success in Basic Skills, CTE, and Transfer courses.

Meeting Name

Members Present (non-voting):

Roanna Bennie, Interim President (Chair)

Members Present (voting):

William Garcia, V.P., Student Services

Karin Spirn, Program Review

Scott Miner, Facilities & Sustainability

Titian Lish, Resource Allocation

Melissa Korber, Academic Senate President

Donna Reed, Classified Senate

Tatiana Hernandez, ASLPC President

Zainab Dogar, ASLPC Vice President

Members Absent:

Diane Brady, V.P., Admin. Services

LaVaughn Hart, CEMC

LaVaughn Hart, LPC Faculty Association

Rajinder Samra, IPEC

Rajinder Samra, Accreditation Steering

Howard Blumenfeld, Professional Develop.

Nessa Julian, Student Success

Tina Inzerilla, Academic Senate V.P.

Ginger Ripplinger, Classified Senate Michael

Sugi, LPC SEIU

1. Call to Order

Ms. Bennie called the meeting to order at 2:36 p.m.

2. Review and Approval of Agenda – The agenda was approved as presented.

3. Review and Approval of Minutes – The minutes from the January 25, 2018 meeting were approved. **(Johnson/Lish) Brady and Gecox abstained.** Notes from the February 22, 2018 meeting were reviewed.

4. Action Items

a. **Shared Governance Handbook** – Ms. Bennie showed the governance handbook to the council. The book has general information and an introduction of what and who we are, how the committees function, what is a council, committee, user group and task force. It also shows how we relate to one another.

b. **College Council Charge and Structure** - College Council is supposed to be directing, advising, and evaluating. The council is supposed to represent the whole campus. It should be added that planning priorities are created. Ms. Bennie also showed a model of how each group relates to one another. It is important to take a look at how the council has been functioning. Currently, all of the committees on College Council report up to the President, but the council should serve as a filter for the decisions coming through at the campus level. Mr. Miner state that past President Pollard saw that people wanted to provide more input and there wasn't a group to do that within. In recent history, it seems that something changed with the structure of the meeting. Ms. Bennie showed the list of voting committee members of the council. The possible structure change was shown as well. A motion was made to adopt the diagram for next year. **(Miner/Johnson)**

There was more discussion regarding the charge of the council. Who has the final stamp of approval before going to the President? Ms. Bennie stated that it should be the council. The group will discuss it and come up with some type of consensus. It is not so much that this committee has the authority, but the President and District have the ability to override decisions. College Council is a sounding board. The council would have to adjust the reporting structure on each of the committees. We could endorse this and make the steps for process through the committees. The question came up of whether committees could say they do not like the structure. Most of the constituencies are at the table and do have a heads up. Mr. Garcia stated that the reason he likes the proposed model is because it promotes communication, collaboration and transparency. The group needs feedback all year and it is suggested to go through with the transition.

More discussion took place to hold off on moving forward. There was a motion to append the previous motion to take a month to review the final. **(Spirn/Korber). Reed abstained. Miner and Garcia Denied.** The item will be held for another month.

- c. **Review and approval/acknowledgement of committee changes** – The following committee changes were quickly discussed:
- **Program Review Committee**
 - **Curriculum**
 - **Technology**
 - **College Enrollment Management**
 - **Resource Allocation**
 - **Student Learning Outcomes** – Using ISLOs instead of competencies. They asked to change the committee chair to be a voting chair.
 - **Faculty Hiring Prioritization** – This one had a fairly minor change. Discussion of designee took place so that other people can be assigned to vote. This will be on the agenda for the next month. It should be designated at the beginning of the year. Some say it has to be the same person. Can meetings be called into? Are we supposed to be following the Brown Act? The description was expanded to go with what the committee does and did not change the membership.
 - **Task forces and user groups** need to be discussed. If they are really only volunteer groups, do they need to be formal? This will be brought back next month.
- d. **Accreditation Planning** – The Accreditation Steering Committee is asking for the timeline of the mid-term report to be approved. There is a need to expand the committee structure to add people. There are no recorded objections at this point.

5. New Business

- a. **President** – Ms. Bennie discussed Guided Pathways. Who is in charge of guided pathways? Ms. Ho has been the lead administrator, but is that how we want it to stay? Dr. Inzerilla stated that they are trying to make this a committee and report to Academic Senate. It would be a committee for the next 5 years and then be disbanded. This may wait until the fall.
- b. **Academic Senate**
- Athletic Program Switch – These are not new courses being discussed, but rather switching programs between Chabot and Las Positas. Our Dean is working with the Dean at Chabot. The proposed change would be for Chabot to take Cross Country since they have a track and field program. Las Positas would take their women's volleyball program. This is good for Title IX.
 - Hours and Units – The Curriculum Committee came to the senate to discuss hours and units and the unfilled need. Mr. Kutil brought it in based on the Carnegie hour and he would like it done as soon as possible. The Senate is hopeful that we can get this on the board agenda before the year ends. There is nothing revolutionary here and it is being used by other community colleges.
 - ADT and IGETC – Last year, the academic senate passed a resolution that was supposed to clarify something. In late May, the senate approved the use of IGETC. There are other colleges in the state that do it. Statewide there is one class under IGETC for CSU that is not for UC. The Academic Senate voted to rescind this.
- c. **Faculty Association** – This item was not discussed.
- d. **Student Success** – The item of the potential proposed change for the committee charge was not discussed.

6. Old Business

- **VP of Student Services** – Academic Integrity and Career Certificates were not discussed.

7. Updates

- **Academic Senate** – Ms. Korber mentioned that the senate did endorse the student resolution that is opposed to the state funding model. It is very strongly worded, but there were some amendments.

- **Classified Senate** – Ms. Reed stated that the elections have taken place for classified senate and they have filled all of positions. This will be an exciting year with the transition into a new group. There is a desire to have classified create their own opportunities for themselves.

8. Adjournment – Ms. Bennie closed the meeting (**Johnson/Spirn**)