PROGRAM REVIEW Fall 2022

Program: Sociology

Division: Business, Social Science and Learning Resources

Date: 11/1/2022

Writer(s): Aki Hirose

SLO/SAO Point-Person: Aki Hirose

Audience: Deans, Vice Presidents of Student Services and Academic Services, All Planning and Allocation Committees. This document will be available to the public.

Uses: This Program Review will be used to inform the campus and community about your program. It will also be used in creating Division Summaries, determining College Planning Priorities, and allocating resources. The final use is to document fulfillment of accreditation requirements.

Please note: Program Review is NOT in itself a vehicle for making requests. All requests should be made through appropriate processes (e.g., Instructional Equipment Request Process) or directed to your Dean or supervisor.

Time Frame: This Program Review should reflect on program status during the 2022-23 academic year. It should describe plans starting now and continuing through 2023-24.

Sections: There are two sections to this document. Sections and questions identify the name of the committee or office that will use the information and where you can get additional help.

- The first section focuses on general program reflection and planning.
- The second section focuses on data analysis, including SLOs/SAOs/PSLOs
- The final section is a review of your pathway maps and curriculum, to be filled out only by programs with curriculum offerings.

Topics: The Program Review Glossary defines key terms. Writers should review this glossary before writing: https://bit.ly/2LqPxOW

For Help: Contact Nadiyah Taylor: ntaylor@laspositascollege.edu.

A list of contacts for help with specific sections is provided on the Program Review website under the "tools for writers" tab. [https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead]

Instructions:

- 1) Please respond to each question with enough detail to present your information, but it doesn't have to be very long.
- 2) If the requested information does not apply to your program, write "Not Applicable."
- 3) Optional/suggested: Communicate with your dean while completing this document.
- 4) Send an electronic copy of this form to Nadiyah Taylor and your dean **by November**1, 2022

Helpful Links:

Program Review Home Page

Fall 2021 Program Reviews

Frequently Asked Questions

Throughout this document you'll see that equity is a guiding principle. Here is the LPC definition:

Las Positas College will achieve equity by changing the impacts of structural racism, ableism, homophobia, and systematic poverty on student success and access to higher education, achieved through continuous evaluation and improvement of all services. We believe in a high-quality education focused on learning and an inclusive, culturally-relevant environment that meets the diverse needs of all our students.

LPC Equity Definition: Equity is parity in student educational outcomes. It places student success and belonging for students of color and disproportionately impacted students at the center of focus.

Section One: Your Program In 21-22 – Please check N/A where relevant

A. Accomplishments: Identify accomplishments from the 21-22 AY.

Some areas you may want to note in your explanation are:

- Did your accomplishments support your program's plans identified in 21-22 PR
- Did they relate to guided pathways, and/or

	N/A
	Accomplishments
2	1 We cross-listed SOC 3 with ETHS 6 by modifying the course title and its outline of record and submitted it for articulation. It was approved. This accomplishment is consistent with our plan in 21/22 PR. Currently, our program is the largest provider of CSU ethnic studies requirement courses. In this way, our contribution is an integral part of guided pathways. The course content supports our effort to achieve equity.
7	2
[3
4	1
-	5
-	5
ab ı	to add more lines as needed
Wha elat	Challenges, Pain Points, and Needs: It significant challenges or obstacles did your Program face during AY 21-22 especially ited to accomplishing program goals/plans? You may want to consider areas in the equity inition on page 2.
	N/A

	 The gaps among students in terms of preparedness for higher education are still one of the major challenges that we face. As studies in the sociology of education have demonstrated, there are social structural factors that significantly influence educational achievement gaps among different demographic groups. We are acutely aware that many of those factors are truly structural in nature. They are often beyond the control of individual educators and LPC as an educational institution. Our SLO data collection and assessment effort were not satisfactory in AY 21-22. More
	resources might help facilitate more consistent data collection and analysis.
	3.
	4.
	5.
İ	6.
С.	Reflecting on your program's experiences from 2020 - to 2022, what novations or new processes did you integrate that you would like to
C. ini	Reflecting on your program's experiences from 2020 - to 2022, what
C. ini	Reflecting on your program's experiences from 2020 - to 2022, what novations or new processes did you integrate that you would like to ntinue?

Most Sociology courses (i.e., 8 out of 9 courses) include the teaching of topics that address social inequality of various kinds. We also have dedicated courses that specifically teach students the issues related to race, ethnicity, gender, sexuality, and class. In this way, the Sociology program supports the

goal of educational excellence by providing students wi necessary for the promotion of equity and anti-racism.	th opportu	unities to learn o	critical cond	cepts
E. Planning: What are the most important For your Program? N/A	nt plans	s, either nev	v or con	tinuing
Plan	New	Continuing	Short term	Long term
Working with the Administration of Justice in the Certificate in 21st Century Policing program	~			V
Offering of ethnic studies courses		V		V
Tab to add more lines as needed F. If you have outreached to students in your lasses, please share information about have used the feedback. N/A	_	-	_	
Describe student outreach used to gather feedback. For example, through surveys, conversations, etc.				
What did you learn?				
How will you use the feedback?				,

G. Are there institutional barriers to the equity work that your program would like to engage in, and what suggestions do you have for minimizing or eliminating these barriers? (See page 2, for the equity definition)

Barrier	Suggestions

Section Two: Data Analysis – Quantitative and Qualitative

N/A

A. IR Data Review: Discuss any significant trends in the data provided by the Office of Institutional Research and Planning (or any other data you use for decision-making and planning).

(Note: Not all Programs have IR data available; if your program does not have a data packet or dashboard data, you may note that in the response box.)

- IR Data packets are available here (posted Fall 22): https://bit.ly/2IYaFu7
- Course Set Standard Overview & Success Rates Dashboard can be found in the middle of this page: https://bit.ly/2Y9vGpl

The most significant trend that we observed from the AY2021-22 data is the further decline in student enrollment numbers. The pattern is consistent with the trend that was also observed and reported in AY 2020-21 (and the years before). More specifically, the total course enrollment in Fall 2021 fell from 418 in Fall 2020 to 302. Similarly, the total course enrollment in Spring 2022 declined from 348 to 312. Some of the main reasons may be related to larger external factors such as the COVID pandemic, the transition to remote instruction, economic trends, and policy changes.

B. Program-Set Standard (Instructional Programs Only):

The program-set standard is a baseline that alerts programs if their student success rates have dipped suddenly. There are valid reasons a program does not meet the Program Set Standard; when a program does not meet this standard, they are simply asked to examine possible reasons and note any actions that should be taken, if appropriate.

<u>Program-set standard data can be found on this page</u>

•	Did yo	our pro	gram n	neet its	progran	n-set sta	ndard fo	or suc	cessful	course	comp	letion?
		Yes _	N	No								

• If your program did not meet your program-set standard, discuss possible reasons and how this may affect program planning or resource requests.

N/A		

C. SLOs/SAOs: Assessment of Student Learning and Support

Program Review is our major source of data on student learning for the college and is therefore regularly reviewed. *Each year programs must discuss how their PSLOs, CSLOs, or Service Area Outcomes (SAOs) support the College Mission. This helps us to see how our students are progressing in their learning.*

For assistance with these questions and instructions on how to run the necessary reports in eLumen, <u>click here.</u>

You should complete at least one of the following three sections. Please choose the option(s) below that are appropriate for your program - Go directly to the section(s) you chose.

- C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs (disaggregated PSLOs)
- C2: Instructional Programs with CSLOs (Departments without degrees, non-major courses, and/or other courses up for assessment)
- C3: Non-Instructional Programs (SAOs)

C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs (disaggregated PSLOs)

- 1) To assess PSLOs, CSLOs must be correctly mapped to only one PSLO within eLumen and every mapped CSLO must have assessment data. Please insert a checkmark in one of the following options that correctly describes your data and move on accordingly.
 - a. If the CSLOs are mapped correctly and there is data for each CSLO, then continue to question 2.
 - b. If the CSLOs have assessment data and the mapping needs to be completed, then complete the mapping within eLumen (See SLO Handbook, p. 7) and continue to question 2.
 - c. If not all of the mapped CSLOs have assessment data, then you cannot assess the PSLO. In this case, continue to question C2.

	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	T program, the students should be able to analyze and perspectives, empirical findings, and historical trends in				
	We selected this PSLO because it relates	to a CSLO that all sociology courses have.				
		ne planned assessments for the selected PSLO? (run				
<u> </u>	Faculty Participation report from last yea	ır)32%				
	N					
-	Non-disaggregated Analysis of PSLO(s): Ii student learning in your program?	n general, what conclusions can be drawn about				
	Our data are not large and robust enough	n to draw any valid conclusions.				
4) [Disaggregated Analysis of PSLO(s) to identify potential inequity: Disaggregation allows you					
-		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,				
t	to examine inequities in student learning	goutcomes within sub-populations in your program				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data.				
t	to examine inequities in student learning	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data.				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. Gregate the data? Mark all the apply.				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. gregate the data? Mark all the apply. • First Generation				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender Age	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. gregate the data? Mark all the apply. First Generation DE Online				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender Age Ethnicity	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. gregate the data? Mark all the apply. First Generation DE				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender Age Ethnicity EOPS	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. gregate the data? Mark all the apply. First Generation DE Online Hybrid				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender Age Ethnicity EOPS Veteran BOG Recipient	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. gregate the data? Mark all the apply. First Generation DE Online Hybrid Fact-to-Face				
t	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender Age Ethnicity EOPS Veteran	g outcomes within sub-populations in your program disaggregate PSLO data. gregate the data? Mark all the apply. First Generation DE Online Hybrid Fact-to-Face				
tid y	to examine inequities in student learning See the Guide for instructions on how to Which variables did you use to disagg Gender Age Ethnicity EOPS Veteran BOG Recipient	gregate the data? Mark all the apply. First Generation DE Online Hybrid Fact-to-Face				

6)	Identify any challenges facing your department that may contribute to inequitable outcomes as revealed by your disaggregated PSLO data. (Refer to section 1B if needed)					
	No challenges were revealed by the data. This is most likely because we did not have strong enough data.					
7)	Based on discussion with others in your program, explain potential changes that will improve student					
′,	learning and address inequities identified through analysis of disaggregated PSLO data.					
	We did not have any discussion about data.					
8)	The 2022-2023 Academic year is the last year in our 3-year assessment cycle. Please review your 3-year plan and verify that all of your courses will be assessed by June 2023.					
	Will all of your courses be assessed by June 2023?					
	Yes No					
	If not, please update your 3-year plan to include any courses you missed or if you plan to revise your 3-year plan, then send your updated plan to the <u>Curriculum and SLO Specialist</u> , and the <u>SLO Chair</u> .					
9)	Are you planning on updating any CSLOs or PSLOs?					
	YES V NO					
	(If yes, then you may do this through eLumen, see the <u>SLO Handbook</u> if you need instructions on how to do this.)					
10	If you experienced any challenges in completing your PSLO assessment process please list those in the box below along with any items that would help you improve this process in the future.					
	The lack of faculty participation in collecting data is the main reason why we do not have sufficient and robust data and meaningful assessment results. More resources (e.g., reassign time) are needed to address this problem.					

C2: Instructional Programs With CSLOs - Departments without degrees, non-major courses, and/or other courses up for assessment

	N/A
	What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments for the selected CSLO? (run Faculty Participation report from last year)%
•	<u>Using the CSLO data and reflection questions</u> , what are some conclusions?
	N/A
	List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.
	N/A
•	The 2022-2023 Academic year is the last year in our 3-year assessment cycle. Please review your 3-year plan and verify that all of your courses will be assessed by June 2023. Will all of your courses be assessed by June 2023?
	YesNo
	If not, please update your 3-year plan to include any courses you missed or if you plan to revise your 3-year plan, then send your updated plan to the <u>Curriculum and SLO Specialist</u> , and the <u>SLO Chair</u> .
	Are you planning on updating any CSLOs?

7.	If you experienced any challenges in completing your CSLO assessment process please list those in the box below along with any items that would help you improve this process in the future.
,	N/A
I	
	C3: Non-Instructional Programs (SAOs)
1.	Based on your current <u>3-year plan</u> , list the SAO(s) for the academic year 2021-2022 that your program selected to review and explain why these were chosen.
	N/A
2.	What percentage of staff completed the planned assessments for the selected SAO(s)? (run Faculty Participation report from last year)
3.	<u>Based on discussion with others in your area:</u> Using the <u>SAO data and reflection questions</u> or other sources of data, what conclusions can be made?
	N/A
	* If you used other sources of data, briefly explain below.
	N/A
4.	List changes that you plan to improve outcomes in your service area.
	N/A
5. <u>3-</u>	The 2022-2023 Academic year is the last year in our 3-year assessment cycle. Please review your year plan and verify that all of your courses will be assessed by June 2023.
	Will all of your courses be assessed by June 2023?
	YesNo

6.	Are you planning o	n updating any SAOs?
	YES	NO
	(If yes, then you m how to do this.)	ay do this through eLumen, see the SLO Handbook if you need instructions on
	•	iny challenges in completing your SAO assessment process please list those ems that would help you improve this process in the future.
	N/A	
L		

If not, please update your 3-year plan to include any courses you missed, or if you plan to revise your 3-year plan, then send your updated plan to the Curriculum and SLO Specialist, and the SLO

Chair.

Note: There is an opportunity to give feedback on the PR template on the last page if you won't be completing the next sections

Section Three: Guided Pathways & Curriculum Review (Programs with Courses Only)

For assistance with these questions, contact the Curriculum Committee Chair

Part One: Guided Pathways: Your program's work with guided pathways

A. Program Maps - <u>The Program Maps (degree and certificate course sequences) are</u> found in Academic & Career Pathways

Up-to-date Program Maps are used by students in your pathway, for data collection to support in-reach to students in your Pathway, predictive scheduling recommendations for Discipline Plans, and may influence the allocation of FTEF.

Please compare each Program Map to your current course offerings and course sequencing. Pay close attention to prerequisite information and to classes that may only be offered particular terms.

- 1) Are your Program Maps accurate?
- Yes, all of my maps are accurate

•	No. The Program Map for	(degree/certificate name)
	Requires an update	

- Requires a non-curricular change (ie: course sequencing) Please consult your <u>Pathway</u>
 counseling faculty liaison
- **Curricular Change** (Program modifications) Modifications are initiated through the Curriculum Committee. For mapping support contact the <u>Curriculum & SLO Specialist</u>.

Part Two: Curriculum Review

For assistance with this section, contact the **Curriculum Committee Chair**.

The following questions ask you to review your program's curriculum. To see the last outline revision date and revision due date follow the directions below:

- 1. Log in to CurricUNET
- 2. Select "Course Outline Report" under "Reports/Interfaces"
- 3. Select the report as an Excel file or as HTML

A. Title V Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Do you need to update any courses to stay within the 5-year cycle? List courses requiring updates below.

Reminder: updates to course title or units, and course deactivations, will require updating any program
they are associated with. List programs requiring updating in question (C).
YESNo
Course Name & Number
SOC 4 Marriage and Family Relations
SOC 7 Sociology of Sexuality
SOC 12 Popular Culture
B. Degree/Certificate Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Do any programs require modification in this cycle? If yes, list them below.
Reminder: Program modifications sent to the Curriculum Committee for approval require an updated Program Map. For mapping and curriculum support please contact the <u>Curriculum & SLO Specialist</u> .
YESNo
Certificate or Degree
C. Are there any courses or programs for which a non-mandatory update is planned?
Reminder: Program modifications sent to the Curriculum Committee for approval require an updated Program Map. For mapping and curriculum support please contact the <u>Curriculum & SLO Specialist</u> .

If yes, explain details, rationale, or any support that might be helpful to the committee.

✓ _____Not at this time

YES

N/A
D. Does your program plan to create any new courses or programs this year? Reminder:: New program proposals require a Program Map for Senate approval. Please contact the Curriculum & SLO Specialist if you are planning a new program.
YES No
If yes, please provide details and the rationale
N/A
E. Are there any courses that you plan to deactivate or sunset?
YES V No
Course Name & Number

Program Review Suggestions (optional): What questions or suggestions do you have regarding this year's Program Review forms or process?

The instructions state on p.1: "Time Frame: This Program Review should reflect on program status during the 2022-23 academic year." As I mentioned this in the last PR, I find this statement confusing because this review mostly deals with data from AY 21-22 and is completed during the first semester of AY 22-23. We do not have data to assess our status during the 22-23 academic year.