

PROGRAM REVIEW Fall 2021

Program: French

Division: Arts and Humanities

Date: 11/5/2021

Writer(s): Savanna McGrew

SLO/SAO Point-Person:

Audience: Deans, Vice Presidents of Student Services and Academic Services, All Planning and Allocation Committees. This document will be available to the public.

Uses: This Program Review will be used to inform the campus and community about your program. It will also be used in the processes of creating Division Summaries, determining College Planning Priorities and allocating resources. A final use is to document fulfillment of accreditation requirements.

Please note: Program Review is NOT in itself a vehicle for making requests. All requests should be made through appropriate processes (e.g., Instructional Equipment Request Process) or directed to your Dean or supervisor.

Time Frame: This Program Review should reflect on program status during the 2021-22 academic year. It should describe plans starting now and continuing through 2022-23.

Sections: There are three sections to this document. Sections and questions identify the name of the committee or office that will use the information and where you can get additional help.

- The first section focuses on general program reflection and planning.
- The second section is a review of curriculum, to be filled out only by programs with curriculum.
- The third section is a review for CTE programs, to be filled out only by these programs.

Topics: The Program Review Glossary defines key terms. Writers should review this glossary before writing: <https://bit.ly/2LqPxOW>

For Help: Contact Nadiyah Taylor: ntaylor@laspositascollege.edu.

A list of contacts for help with specific sections is provided on the Program Review website under the “tools for writers” tab. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

Instructions:

- 1) Please respond to each question with enough detail to present your information, but it doesn't have to be very long.
- 2) If the requested information does not apply to your program, write “Not Applicable.”
- 3) Optional/suggested: Communicate with your dean while completing this document.
- 4) Send an electronic copy of this form to Nadiyah Taylor and your dean by when?

Links:

[Program Review Home Page](#)

[Fall 2020 Program Reviews](#)

[Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Section One: Your Program In 20-21 – Please check N/A where relevant

A. Accomplishments: How did your Program's accomplishments during AY20-21 support the newly revised college mission, the goals of the Educational Master Plan, and/or the President's Call to Action on anti-racism? Areas to consider include impacts to students by race/ethnicity, gender, sexuality, age, or disability status, or those disproportionately impacted by the shift to remote instruction and services.

- [College Mission](#)
- [Educational Master Plan](#)
- [Presidential Task Force: Call to Action](#)

Description	Mission	Master Plan	Presidential Task Force
1 French 1A was re-worked to 6-weeks of instruction for summer session 2021.	x		
2 Fall 2021 HyFlex training to participate in pilot program and offer French 1B as a HyFlex course Spring 2022. This offers added flexibility to meet the needs of multiple student populations in one course shell.	x	x	x
3 Implementation of ideas from Joe Feldman, "Grading for Equity" into the French Curriculum	x	x	x
4 Participation in the Persistence Project	x	x	x
5			
6			

Tab to add more lines as needed

B. Challenges, Obstacles and Needs: What significant challenges or obstacles did your Program face during AY20-21 in supporting the newly revised college mission, the goals of the Educational Master Plan, and/or the President's Call to Action on anti-racism? Areas to consider include impacts to students by race/ethnicity, gender, sexuality, age, or disability status, or those disproportionately impacted by the shift to remote instruction and services.

____ N/A

Description	Mission	Master Plan	Presidential Task Force
1 "Sunset" for French 2A/2B, which have not been offered for several years. Students are told to take it elsewhere. These courses are part of the Humanities AA degree as well as the Global Studies degree. Support for lower enrolled courses.	x	x	
2 French 1A is no longer offered in Spring semester, which leaves one language option (Spanish) in the world language program for students. (Italian program was cancelled in 2018)	x	x	
3			
4			
5			

Tab to add more lines as needed

C. Planning: What are the most important plans, either new or continuing, for your Program?

_____N/A

Plan	New	Continuing	Short term	Long term
French 1B and 1A to be offered as HyFlex to offer increased flexibility in scheduling for students taking French.	x			
Continued of implementation of Joe Feldman's ideas for "Grading for Equity" in the French curriculum. Less grammar focused, and more large concept based grading to reduce explicit task based anxieties in the classroom.		x		
Have reduced materials costs for students by adjusting the curriculum.		x		

Tab to add more lines as needed

D. How have your program's interactions with the larger campus systems benefitted your students? For example, working with allocation committees, participation on committees, etc.

_____N/A

Campus system or Committee	How has it benefitted your students?
Persistence Project	Being able to reach out to students one/one and have the resources to make time for that in my schedule. As an instructor of an asynchronous course, this has been extremely humanizing for myself and the students. The infrastructure and the faculty support has been beneficial as well.
Professional Development Committee	Exposure to new teaching methods and ideas, for example Joe Feldman's book, introduced to me by David Powers president of the PDC. This greatly impacted comfort and ability to implement wholistic evaluation of student work in to the French program in the Canvas shell created for the Asynchronous French 1A classes this last year.

E. If you have outreached to students in your department, program or classes, please share information about what you discovered and how you have used the feedback

_____N/A

Describe student outreach used to gather feedback? For example, through surveys, conversations, etc.	I have implemented a mid-term course survey to increase my awareness of how student's are receiving the course.
What did you learn?	Much feedback on the structure of the course, and ways to improve delivery, and their success with the information.
How will you use the feedback?	I have continued to edit and change the current Canvas shell in response to this direct feedback, in the Persistence Project student interviews, as well as the Mid-term evaluations.

Section Two: Data Analysis – Quantitative and Qualitative

A. IR Data Review: Describe any significant trends in your program's data provided by the office of Institutional Research and Planning. (Note: Not all Programs have IR data available; if your program does not have a data packet or dashboard data, you may note that in the response box.) You may also discuss any other data used by your program for decision-making and planning.

- IR Data packets are available here: <https://bit.ly/2lYaFu7> - will be updated with fall 21 data
- Course Success Rates Dashboard can be found at the bottom of this page: <https://bit.ly/2Y9vGpl>

http://www.laspositascollege.edu/research/docs/prog-rev/2019-20/subj/fall/F15-19_FREN.pdf

B. Program-Set Standard (Instructional Programs Only): The program-set standard is a baseline that alerts programs if their student success rates have dipped suddenly. There may be many valid reasons a program does not meet the Program Set Standard; when a program does not meet this standard, they are simply asked to examine possible reasons and note any actions that should be taken, if appropriate.

[Program-set standard data can be found on this page:](#)

- Did your program meet its program-set standard for successful course completion?
___yes ___x___no
- If your program did not meet your program-set standard, discuss possible reasons and how this may affect program planning or resource requests.

Looking at enrollments for summer 2021, there were 20 students enrolled, and 8 withdrawals. Perhaps the compacted schedule of summer session with the amount of work required for a 5 Unit course was too much for students. This was the first summer we have offered French in about a decade, so this seems to be a large factor in why all of a sudden the program-set standard was not met for academic year 20-21. (Data shows previously this has not been an issue.)

SLOs/SAOs:

For assistance with these questions, contact the SLO Committee Chair. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

Each year programs must discuss how their PSLOs, CSLOs, or Service Area Outcomes (SAOs) support the College Mission. This helps us to see how our students are progressing in their learning.

You should complete ONE of the following three sections. Please choose the option that is most appropriate for your program:

- C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs
- C2: Instructional Programs without PSLOs or with Special Circumstances
- C3: Non-Instructional Programs

Go directly to the section you chose. If you are not sure which option to pick, contact the SLO Committee Chair or Program Review Committee Chair for assistance.

C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs

PSLO Assessments:

- (1) Please list the PSLO(s) that were reviewed in this last cycle and explain why these were chosen.
- (2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). _____%
- (3) Did you get the assessment data that you needed to complete this report? If not, then describe the barriers that you can identify. ____YES ____No

- (4) Discuss the findings of the PSLO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template). What conclusions can be drawn about student learning?

- (5) Was the data disaggregated and, if so, on what parameters? What, if any, equity issues emerged?

(6) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

(7) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B.

(8) Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.

____YES ____No

C2: Instructional Programs without PSLOs or with Special Circumstances

CSLO Assessments:

Student Learning

(1) List the CSLO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template) and explain why your department selected these CSLOs for review.

All CSLO's for French 1A in Fall 2020. This was the only course that was offered in 2020/21.

(2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). ____100____%

(3) Discussion-based analysis of student learning: Using the CSLO data and answers to the reflection questions, what type of conclusions can be made about student learning?

Shifting the French curriculum from the Textbook, which was used prior to 2019, to the Canvas shell I have been creating since, has changed emphasis in the course. Moving from task based data in exams, quizzes and homework, to more global demonstrations of learning in reading, writing and speaking samples and a final presentation project. This increases equity in the course in multiple ways, with more flexibility for working

students and students of various educational backgrounds to experience success in learning French.

(4) Describe the pertinent findings. What, if any, equity issues emerged?

N/A

(5) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.

n/a

Assessment Process: To be completed by the department/program or the SLO Coordinator

(1) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

n/a

(2) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B.

n/a

(3) Are you planning on revising your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.

____YES ____x____No

C3: Non-Instructional Programs

SAO Assessments:

Support of Student Learning

(1) List the SAO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template) and explain why your department selected these SAOs for review.

(2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). _____%

(3) Discussion-based analysis of student learning: Using the SAO data and answers to the reflection questions, what type of conclusions can be made about student learning?

(4) Describe the pertinent findings. What, if any, equity issues emerged?

(5) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.

Assessment Process: To be completed by the department/program or the SLO Coordinator

(6) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

(7) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B. Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template and, if so, describe?

(8) Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.

____YES ____No

Program Review Suggestions (optional): What questions or suggestions do you have regarding this year's Program Review forms or process?

Section Three: Curriculum Review (Programs with Courses Only)

For assistance with this section, contact the Curriculum Committee Chair. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

The following questions ask you to review your program's curriculum. To see the last outline revision date and revision due date:

- 1. Log in to CurricUNET**
- 2. Select "Course Outline Report" under "Reports/Interfaces"**
- 3. Select the report as an Excel file or as HTML**

- A. Title V Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Are any of your courses requiring an update to stay within the 5-year cycle? List courses needing updates below. *Reminder: updates to course title or units, and course deactivations, will require updating any program they are associated with. List programs requiring updating in question (B).***

____YES ____x____No

Course Name & Number

- B. Degree/Certificate Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Are there any programs requiring modification? If yes, list them below.**

____YES ____x____No

Certificate or Degree

C. Are there any courses or programs for which a non-mandatory update is planned?

____YES ____x____Not at this time

If yes, explain details, rationale, or any support that might be helpful

--

D. Does your program plan to create any new courses or programs this year?

____YES ____x____No

If yes, please provide details and the rationale

--

Section Four: CTE Updates

(CTE Programs Only)

Vicki Shipman will provide you with or support any data needs

A. Labor Market Conditions: Examine your most recent labor market data (within the last 2 years).

1) Does your program continue to meet a documented labor market demand?

____YES ____No

2) Does this program represent a training need that is not duplicated in the college's service area?

____YES ____No

Please explain

B. Advisory Boards: Has your program complied with advisory board recommendations?

____YES ____No

If not, please explain.

C. Strong Workforce Program Metrics: Utilizing LaunchBoard, review the Strong Workforce Program Metrics. Review the data and then answer the following questions.

C1. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians for increased enrollments, completions, and/or transfer since your last program review?

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

C2. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for students gaining employment in their field of study?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

C3. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for student employment rates after leaving the college?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

C4. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for increased student earnings and median change in earnings?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?