

PROGRAM REVIEW Fall 2021

Program: Automotive

Division: PATH

Date: 8/21/2021 to

Writer(s): Brian Hagopian

SLO/SAO Point-Person: Brian Hagopian

Audience: Deans, Vice Presidents of Student Services and Academic Services, All Planning and Allocation Committees. This document will be available to the public.

Uses: This Program Review will be used to inform the campus and community about your program. It will also be used in the processes of creating Division Summaries, determining College Planning Priorities and allocating resources. A final use is to document fulfillment of accreditation requirements.

Please note: Program Review is NOT in itself a vehicle for making requests. All requests should be made through appropriate processes (e.g., Instructional Equipment Request Process) or directed to your Dean or supervisor.

Time Frame: This Program Review should reflect on program status during the 2021-22 academic year. It should describe plans starting now and continuing through 2022-23.

Sections: There are three sections to this document. Sections and questions identify the name of the committee or office that will use the information and where you can get additional help.

- The first section focuses on general program reflection and planning.
- The second section is a review of curriculum, to be filled out only by programs with curriculum.
- The third section is a review for CTE programs, to be filled out only by these programs.

Topics: The Program Review Glossary defines key terms. Writers should review this glossary before writing: <https://bit.ly/2LqPxOW>

For Help: Contact Nadiyah Taylor: ntaylor@laspositascollege.edu.

A list of contacts for help with specific sections is provided on the Program Review website under the “tools for writers” tab. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

Instructions:

- 1) Please respond to each question with enough detail to present your information, but it doesn't have to be very long.
- 2) If the requested information does not apply to your program, write “Not Applicable.”
- 3) Optional/suggested: Communicate with your dean while completing this document.
- 4) Send an electronic copy of this form to Nadiyah Taylor and your dean by when?

Links:

[Program Review Home Page](#)

[Fall 2020 Program Reviews](#)

[Frequently Asked Questions](#)

Section One: Your Program In 20-21 – Please check N/A where relevant

A. Accomplishments: How did your Program's accomplishments during AY20-21 support the newly revised college mission, the goals of the Educational Master Plan, and/or the President's Call to Action on anti-racism? Areas to consider include impacts to students by race/ethnicity, gender, sexuality, age, or disability status, or those disproportionately impacted by the shift to remote instruction and services.

- [College Mission](#)
- [Educational Master Plan](#)
- [Presidential Task Force: Call to Action](#)

Description	Mission	Master Plan	Presidential Task Force
1 Support Career Technical Goals	x	x	
2 Encourage Lifelong learning	x	x	
3 Automotive guided pathway	x	x	x
4. Continuing NATEF Accreditation	x	x	
5 New classes and programs	x	x	
6			

Tab to add more lines as needed

B. Challenges, Obstacles and Needs: What significant challenges or obstacles did your Program face during AY20-21 in supporting the newly revised college mission, the goals of the Educational Master Plan, and/or the President's Call to Action on anti-racism? Areas to consider include impacts to students by race/ethnicity, gender, sexuality, age, or disability status, or those disproportionately impacted by the shift to remote instruction and services.

Description	Mission	Master Plan	Presidential Task Force
1. More females in CTE	x	x	x
2. Community partnerships	x	x	
3. Professional Development	x	x	
4. Budget for Alldata and Shopkey reinstated and permanant. Must have to teach classes.	x	x	x
5. Covid Mandate not equitable to CTE students, no way for CTE student to receive degree/certs/classes. CTE students must be on campus.	x	x	x
6			

Tab to add more lines as needed

C. Planning: What are the most important plans, either new or continuing, for your Program?

Plan	New	Continuing	Short term	Long term
Continue and enhance Full time faculty training, must be greatly expanded.		x	x	x
Continue hybrid teaching and learning model		x	x	x
Acquire new equipment for new building	x	x	x	x

Tab to add more lines as needed

D. How have your program's interactions with the larger campus systems benefitted your students? For example, working with allocation committees, participation on committees, etc.

 X N/A

Campus system or Committee	How has it benefitted your students?

E. If you have outreached to students in your department, program or classes, please share information about what you discovered and how you have used the feedback

 N/A

Describe student outreach used to gather feedback? For example, through surveys, conversations, etc.	Survey and conversations. Students like and want to continue hybrid class schedule.
What did you learn?	They prefer async, so they can work in the field more. Except for INTR class, they prefer sync.
How will you use the feedback?	Encourage my other faculty in department to continue what they are doing and hold the line until a time when the data/feedback changes.

Section Two: Data Analysis – Quantitative and Qualitative

A. IR Data Review: Describe any significant trends in your program's data provided by the office of Institutional Research and Planning. (Note: Not all Programs have IR data available; if your program does not have a data packet or dashboard data, you may note that in the response box.) You may also discuss any other data used by your program for decision-making and planning.

- IR Data packets are available here: <https://bit.ly/2IYaFu7>
- Course Success Rates Dashboard can be found at the bottom of this page: <https://bit.ly/2Y9vGpl>

Success rates are up. New hybrid model students have really latched on to. Female student numbers need to be improved.

B. Program-Set Standard (Instructional Programs Only): The program-set standard is a baseline that alerts programs if their student success rates have dipped suddenly. There may be many valid reasons a program does not meet the Program Set Standard; when a program does not meet this standard, they are simply asked to examine possible reasons and note any actions that should be taken, if appropriate.

[Program-set standard data can be found on this page:](#)

- *Did your program meet its program-set standard for successful course completion?*
☒ *yes* ☐ *no*
- *If your program did not meet your program-set standard, discuss possible reasons and how this may affect program planning or resource requests.*

SLOs/SAOs:

For assistance with these questions, contact the SLO Committee Chair. [<https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead>]

Each year programs must discuss how their PSLOs, CSLOs, or Service Area Outcomes (SAOs) support the College Mission. This helps us to see how our students are progressing in their learning.

You should complete ONE of the following three sections. Please choose the option that is most appropriate for your program:

- C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs
- C2: Instructional Programs without PSLOs or with Special Circumstances
- C3: Non-Instructional Programs

Go directly to the section you chose. If you are not sure which option to pick, contact the SLO Committee Chair or Program Review Committee Chair for assistance.

C1: Instructional Programs with PSLOs

PSLO Assessments:

- (1) Please list the PSLO(s) that were reviewed in this last cycle and explain why these were chosen. "students will be able to pass an industry standard exam"
- (2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). ____100____%
- (3) Did you get the assessment data that you needed to complete this report? If not, then describe the barriers that you can identify. ☒ YES _____ No

- (4) Discuss the findings of the PSLO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template). What conclusions can be drawn about student learning?

Students are passing industry exams and doing well.

- (5) Was the data disaggregated and, if so, on what parameters? What, if any, equity issues emerged?

Equity issues is always the same. Lack of Females.

(6) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.

None. Attracting females to CTE is a College/District issue.

(7) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B.

The program, instructors, coordinator can do nothing to improve female numbers in program. The college needs to make this a priority.

(8) Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.
____ YES ___x___ No

C2: Instructional Programs without PSLOs or with Special Circumstances

CSLO Assessments:

Student Learning

~~(1) List the CSLO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template) and explain why your department selected these CSLOs for review.~~

~~(2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). _____%~~

~~(3) Discussion-based analysis of student learning: Using the CSLO data and answers to the reflection questions, what type of conclusions can be made about student learning?~~

~~(4) Describe the pertinent findings. What, if any, equity issues emerged?~~

~~(5) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.~~

~~Assessment Process: To be completed by the department/program or the SLO Coordinator~~

~~(1) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.~~

~~(2) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B.~~

~~(3) Are you planning on revising your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.~~

~~_____YES _____No~~

C3: Non-Instructional Programs

SAO Assessments:

Support of Student Learning

~~(1) List the SAO(s) that were up for review last year (according to your 3-year planning template) and explain why your department selected these SAOs for review.~~

~~(2) What percentage of faculty completed the planned assessments? (run Faculty Participation report from last year). _____%~~

~~(3) Discussion-based analysis of student learning: Using the SAO data and answers to the reflection questions, what type of conclusions can be made about student learning?~~

~~(4) Describe the pertinent findings. What, if any, equity issues emerged?~~

~~(5) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning.~~

~~Assessment Process: To be completed by the department/program or the SLO Coordinator~~

~~(6) List changes that you plan on making to improve student learning and address inequities.~~

~~(7) Discuss the challenges, if any, to improving student learning and equity. You may refer back to items listed in Section 1B. Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template and, if so, describe?~~

~~(8) Are you planning on revising on your 3-year planning template? If so, describe.~~

~~_____YES _____No~~

Program Review Suggestions (optional): What questions or suggestions do you have regarding this year's Program Review forms or process?

What happened to the narrative section? I am no fan of doing extra work, but it was nice to "have a conversation on paper" instead of just bullet points. Why is CTE the only group that looks at LMI? There has got to be some data for Academic programs to look at to rate their programs. Transfer numbers? Needs being filled? Success rates? Now that Launchboard data is SCFF related, all programs should do this.

Section Three: Curriculum Review (Programs with Courses Only)

For assistance with this section, contact the Curriculum Committee Chair. [\[https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead\]](https://bit.ly/3fY7Ead)

The following questions ask you to review your program's curriculum. To see the last outline revision date and revision due date:

- 1. Log in to CurricUNET**
- 2. Select "Course Outline Report" under "Reports/Interfaces"**
- 3. Select the report as an Excel file or as HTML**

- A. Title V Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Are any of your courses requiring an update to stay within the 5-year cycle? List courses needing updates below. *Reminder: updates to course title or units, and course deactivations, will require updating any program they are associated with. List programs requiring updating in question (B).***

____YES __X____No

Course Name & Number

- B. Degree/Certificate Updates [Curriculum Committee]: Are there any programs requiring modification? If yes, list them below.**

____YES __X____No

Certificate or Degree

C. Are there any courses or programs for which a non-mandatory update is planned?

☒ YES ☐ Not at this time

If yes, explain details, rationale, or any support that might be helpful

New classes and programs are being written from student and advisory recommendations.

D. Does your program plan to create any new courses or programs this year?

☒ YES ☐ No

If yes, please provide details and the rationale

Students and advisory recommendations.

Section Four: CTE Updates

(CTE Programs Only)

Vicki Shipman will provide you with or support any data needs

A. Labor Market Conditions: Examine your most recent labor market data (within the last 2 years).

1) Does your program continue to meet a documented labor market demand?

____YES ____No

2) Does this program represent a training need that is not duplicated in the college's service area?

____YES ____No

Please explain

SINCE LAUNCH BOARD DATA IS INCOMPLETE (OR, NOT AVAILABLE) THIS SECTION CANNOT BE ADDRESSED. THE NEW LAUNCHBOARD DATA NOW ADDRESSES THE SCFF WHEREAS THIS SECTION WAS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO SCFF METRICS.

B. Advisory Boards: Has your program complied with advisory board recommendations?

X YES ____No

If not, please explain.

SINCE LAUNCH BOARD DATA IS INCOMPLETE (OR, NOT AVAILABLE) THIS SECTION CANNOT BE ADDRESSED. THE NEW LAUNCHBOARD DATA NOW ADDRESSES THE SCFF WHEREAS THIS SECTION WAS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO SCFF METRICS.

C. Strong Workforce Program Metrics: Utilizing LaunchBoard, review the Strong Workforce Program Metrics. Review the data and then answer the following questions.

C1. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians for increased enrollments, completions, and/or transfer since your last program review?

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

SINCE LAUNCH BOARD DATA IS INCOMPLETE (OR, NOT AVAILABLE) THIS SECTION CANNOT BE ADDRESSED. THE NEW LAUNCHBOARD DATA NOW ADDRESSES THE SCFF WHEREAS THIS SECTION WAS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO SCFF METRICS.

C2. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for students gaining employment in their field of study?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

SINCE LAUNCH BOARD DATA IS INCOMPLETE (OR, NOT AVAILABLE) THIS SECTION CANNOT BE ADDRESSED. THE NEW LAUNCHBOARD DATA NOW ADDRESSES THE SCFF WHEREAS THIS SECTION WAS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO SCFF METRICS.

C3. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for student employment rates after leaving the college?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

SINCE LAUNCH BOARD DATA IS INCOMPLETE (OR, NOT AVAILABLE) THIS SECTION CANNOT BE ADDRESSED. THE NEW LAUNCHBOARD DATA NOW ADDRESSES THE SCFF WHEREAS THIS SECTION WAS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO SCFF METRICS.

C4. Does your program meet or exceed the regional and state medians **for increased student earnings and median change in earnings?**

____YES ____No

If not, what program improvements may be made to increase this metric?

SINCE LAUNCH BOARD DATA IS INCOMPLETE (OR, NOT AVAILABLE) THIS SECTION CANNOT BE ADDRESSED. THE NEW LAUNCHBOARD DATA NOW ADDRESSES THE SCFF WHEREAS THIS SECTION WAS DEVELOPED PRIOR TO SCFF METRICS.